

Rural School Superintendents: A National Study of Perspectives of Board Presidents¹

ROBERT L. KENNEDY² AND BRUCE O. BARKER³

A successful rural school administrator must possess a variety of skills and abilities in order to appropriately meet the divergent needs of teachers, students, parents, and community members. This nationwide study investigated those traits school board presidents characterized as most important to consider in hiring rural school district superintendents, as well as indicators of administrative success and strength. The most valued capabilities were (1) interpersonal relations/communications skills, (2) financial/organizational management skills, and (3) good moral character/personality. A replication of the study, also reported here, yielded similar findings. Administrator-training programs for rural educators should address the need for these competencies in their curricula.

BACKGROUND

Nearly two-thirds of the 15,600 public school districts in the United States are in areas designated as "rural" and roughly one-third of all public school students attend schools located in these areas. Although the basics of instruction are similar in urban, suburban, and rural schools, there are important demands of the rural instructional setting which are different. Teachers are generally more isolated from ongoing developments in their field and from other teachers with similar subject matter expertise. The cultural and geographical isolation common to many rural areas is thereby compounded by a sense of professional isolation. Secondary teachers typically teach a wider range of courses than their urban or suburban counterparts and, of necessity, take on added extracurricular assignments—usually without compensation. Elementary teachers are likely to teach two or more grade levels in the same classroom and do so without the assistance of teacher aides. Also, it is not unusual for rural teachers to be called upon to teach a class or subject in which they are not adequately trained and yet receive little, if any inservice support. Small towns and communities limit privacy, making teachers much more visible in the communities in which they live and work. Due to limited resources, rural educators are frequently required to use outdated and/or inadequate supplies in their teaching. Finally, salaries for rural teachers, on the average, are 20–25% lower than those received by urban and suburban teachers.

Problem Statement

The differences between teaching in a rural versus a nonrural setting pose unique challenges to those superintendents assigned to small, rural school districts. A successful rural school administrator must possess a variety of skills and abilities in order to appropriately meet the divergent needs of teachers, students, parents, and community members. Little information, however, is currently available in the literature which devotes itself to a study of traits or qualities possessed by successful rural school administrators. The problem was to determine—from the perspective of local school boards—(1) those traits which are most desirable in a superintendent assigned to manage a small rural district, and (2) characteristics which seem common to successful rural school superintendents.

Related Research

Previous research has documented the need for teacher preparation programs which identify specific skills common to successful rural school teachers [1; 3; 4; 6]. In a 1985 study, Barker and Beckner [2] contacted college of education deans at public colleges and universities to determine the extent of teacher preparation programs for prospective rural educators. They learned that few programs were available that provided teacher training specifically for rural schools. However, one-half of the education deans surveyed agreed that teaching in a rural

¹Rob Kennedy is Project Coordinator and Assistant Director for Research and Evaluation of the Center for Academic Excellence of the College of Education of the University of Central Arkansas, Conway, Arkansas 72032. Bruce Barker is Assistant Professor of Education at Texas Tech University, Box 4110, Lubbock, Texas 79409. Both serve on the Research Committee of the National Rural Education Association.

²Assistant Director, Center for Academic Excellence, University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR 72032.

³Assistant Professor, College of Education, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-4110.

TABLE 1
Major Challenges Confronting District Superintendents*

		1st	2nd	3rd
1. Securing adequate school monies	S1:	47%	10%	8%
	S2:	38%	13%	5%
2. Student achievement in the schools	S1:	14%	10%	14%
	S2:	15%	13%	23%
3. Improving the school curriculum	S1:	4%	24%	22%
	S2:	15%	30%	25%
4. Securing and retaining teachers	S1:	8%	22%	10%
	S2:	18%	13%	18%
5. Solving school morale problems for teachers or students	S1:	12%	8%	4%
	S2:	5%	15%	8%
6. Working closely with the community	S1:	2%	9%	26%
	S2:	13%	8%	18%
7. Consolidation of district with a neighboring district(s)	S1:	4%	8%	8%
	S2:	5%	10%	3%
8. Other	S1:	4%	8%	2%
	S2:	3%	8%	0%

*Each respondent could rank up to three selections. The June and September responses are indicated by S1 and S2, respectively. Percentages are rounded.

school was different from teaching in an urban or suburban school and needed a different preparation.

Even though numerous research studies have been conducted on the training of teachers for rural schools, there exists a dearth of information related to the preparation of administrators to manage these schools [7]. It is true that there are generic school administration/management

skills applicable whether an administrator serves in a rural or nonrural setting. Generic works are valuable, yet, specific research is needed to identify skills and techniques unique to administrators assigned to manage small/rural school districts. Furthermore, although much useful information has resulted from the "effective schools movement," its research base is founded in elementary schools

TABLE 2
Responsibilities of Superintendents*

		Disagree 1	2	Agree 3
1. A superintendent assigned to a small, rural district faces challenges and problems which are different from a superintendent assigned to a large urban or suburban district	S1:	6%	8%	86%
	S2:	3%	3%	95%
2. The superintendent should be the leader in encouraging involvement and activity in the schools	S1:	6%	2%	92%
	S2:	5%	10%	85%
3. The superintendent should be an instructional leader—that is highly involved in the work of teachers and achievement of students	S1:	10%	20%	70%
	S2:	15%	8%	78%
4. The superintendent should attend state and national education conferences in order to interact with colleagues and participate in professional organizations	S1:	8%	29%	63%
	S2:	3%	23%	75%
5. The superintendent should be actively involved in community activities	S1:	8%	29%	64%
	S2:	10%	20%	70%
6. The superintendent should be held personally accountable for his/her school district's academic performance	S1:	25%	38%	37%
	S2:	25%	25%	50%
7. It is difficult for a small district to attract and retain a qualified superintendent	S1:	28%	37%	34%
	S2:	45%	30%	25%

*The extent of agreement with the given statement is indicated. Percentages are rounded.

located in urban districts. To claim that the effective schools literature applies fully to rural schools is only an assumption without empirical support.

OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the study was to contact stratified samples of school board presidents in small rural school districts across the United States in order to identify (1) desirable traits of a successful rural school superintendent, and (2) qualities which rural school boards look for when hiring a prospective school superintendent.

METHODOLOGY

The samples used for this study consisted of 339 school board presidents from the 42 states having school districts with enrollments of 300 or smaller and a replication using 277 board presidents. A mailing list purchased from Market Data Retrieval Incorporated [5] listed a population of 2779 such districts in the United States.

Districts were chosen first to represent each of the 42

states regardless of the number of small districts. The second criterion was representation on the basis of the quantity of small districts in those states. A questionnaire was mailed to the attention of the school board president of each of the sample districts, the first run in mid-June and the second in early September, 1986. Responses were returned by 59 and 47 board presidents from 26 and 21 different states, respectively. Return rates were 17.4 and 17.0 percent. Of these responses, 53 and 40, respectively, were received in time for processing and were within the size limits of the districts studied. Only 42 states have school districts with 300 or fewer students and 10 of those states have three or less such districts. Twenty-eight (28) of the remaining 32 states were represented with usable data in these studies.

RESULTS

The results of the questionnaire responses are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3. Average sizes of the respondents' districts were 168 and 155 students. The board presidents in each study typically were male businesspersons,

TABLE 3
Traits Desired in Superintendents*

		Less Important		More Important
		1	2	3
1. Enjoys living in a small community	S1:	2%	6%	92%
	S2:	0%	8%	93%
2. Has high moral and/or religious values	S1:	8%	6%	86%
	S2:	3%	5%	93%
3. Understands the sociological implications of living in a small community (e.g. isolation, distance from shopping, cultural events, etc.)	S1:	2%	8%	90%
	S2:	0%	15%	85%
4. Is aware of current research practices, and innovations in education	S1:	4%	8%	88%
	S2:	3%	8%	90%
5. Has desires to use technology as part of the instructional process for students in the school district (e.g., computers, satellite instruction, videodisc, etc)	S1:	8%	8%	84%
	S2:	3%	13%	85%
6. Is skilled in writing federal grants or contracts to obtain funds for school improvement	S1:	4%	18%	78%
	S2:	5%	18%	78%
7. Has a conservative political philosophy	S1:	30%	33%	37%
	S2:	21%	40%	38%
8. Was raised in a rural area	S1:	30%	41%	28%
	S2:	23%	38%	40%
9. Is married	S1:	33%	29%	39%
	S2:	50%	15%	35%
10. Is opposed to district consolidation	S1:	47%	31%	22%
	S2:	25%	33%	43%
11. Is male	S1:	57%	22%	20%
	S2:	63%	18%	20%
12. Has extended family or relatives living in the area	S1:	73%	23%	4%
	S2:	65%	25%	10%

*The extent of agreement with the given characteristic is indicated. Percentages are rounded.

farmers, or ranchers, and had been board members for eight years, half of which were spent in their current positions. The district superintendents were usually males who had held their positions, on the average, eight years. According to the school board presidents of both groups, the major challenge confronting superintendents was that of securing adequate school funding (see Table 1). The next concerns, again shared by both groups, were student achievement, improving school curricula, and securing and retaining teachers. Interestingly, consolidation of the district with a neighboring district was not considered to be a major challenge by most respondents in either group.

The major strengths both groups of board presidents felt were possessed by the superintendents were interpersonal relations/communication, financial/organizational management, and good moral character/personality. The ability to communicate well with staff, teachers, students, parents, and other community members as well as to be able to work well with them was listed by nearly half of the respondents. Not too far behind interpersonal relations skills were fiscal and organizational talents. The ability to deal with school budgets, secure funds, and coordinate educational functions were also considered to be quite important. Having honesty, integrity, good moral character, and a good personality were listed fairly frequently as well.

A third to a half of the school board presidents felt that the district superintendents had no weaknesses. Of those who did, however, poor interpersonal relations was mentioned most frequently.

The school board presidents seemed to draw heavily upon their responses to the question regarding strengths of the present administrators in responding to the question of what characteristics distinguished effective rural school superintendents from ineffective ones. Again, ability to communicate and work cooperatively and effectively with others was on top, while financial and organizational management were not far behind. Interest and ability to live and work in a small community was also mentioned several times.

The school board presidents had the opportunity to agree or disagree with a number of statements concerning qualities of rural school superintendents (see Table 2). The strongest agreements from both study groups were with the statements that superintendents assigned to small, rural districts face challenges and problems which are different from superintendents assigned to large urban or suburban districts and that superintendents should be the leaders in encouraging involvement and activity in the schools.

On the other hand the board presidents disagreed most with the statement that it is difficult for a small district to attract and retain a qualified superintendent. They disagreed also, but to a lesser extent, that the superintendent should be held personally accountable for his or her school district's academic performance.

The school board presidents were asked to respond to certain traits for which they would look if they were to hire a new superintendent (see Table 3). The trait which

both groups deemed most desirable was that the candidate enjoy living in a small community. Other important traits included having high moral and/or religious values, understanding the sociological implications of living in a small community, and being aware of current research practices and innovations in education.

Having extended family or relatives living in the area and being male were traits of least importance in the selection of a rural school superintendent. Being married and being opposed to district consolidation were also considered to be of lesser importance.

CONCLUSIONS

According to two samples of school board presidents of small rural school districts across the nation, the major challenges confronting superintendents in those districts were those of securing adequate school funding and improving school curricula. Of somewhat lesser concern were securing and retaining teachers, and enhancing student achievement in the schools. School consolidation did not appear to be a major issue.

The school board presidents felt that to address these concerns, superintendents needed to be able to communicate well with staff, teachers, students, parents, and other community members as well as to be able to work with them. The ability to deal with school budgets, secure funds, and coordinate educational functions were also considered to be quite important. Having honesty, integrity, good moral character, and a good personality were listed fairly frequently also. Interest and ability to live and work in a small community contributed, it was felt, to their effectiveness.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Just as research has indicated that teacher preparation programs need to include skills specific to success in rural school settings, so does this study indicate a need for training in administrator skills for rural schools. There is a need for more research on the subject, as well, including an investigation of the perspectives of teachers and administrators other than superintendents.

REFERENCES

1. Barker, B. A description of rural school districts in the United States. *The Rural Educator*, 1985, 6(3), 1-4.
2. Barker, B., & Beckner, W. *A survey of public teacher training institutions in the United States*. Paper presented at the 77th annual meeting of the Rural Education Association, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, 1985.
3. Gardener, C.E., & Edington, E.D. *The preparation and certification of teachers for rural and small schools*. New Mexico State University: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1982.
4. Helge, D. Rural preservice preparation: A planning framework; current and future activities. *NRP: National*

Rural Research and Personnel Preparation Project, 1982, 4(1), 4-5, 11.

5. Market Data Retrieval. *1986 educational mailing lists*. Westport, Connecticut, 1986.

6. Muse, I.D., & Stonehocker, L. *A study of small rural high schools of less than 200 students: Perceptions of*

teachers and administrators. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, California, 1979. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 168 778.

7. Wilson, A.P. *The principalship in rural America*. New Mexico State University: ERIC Clearinghouse on Rural Education and Small Schools, 1982.